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## Outline



## DNA and RNA in a cell


https://i0.wp.com/science-explained.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Cell.jpg

## Two common analyses

## DNA Sequencing *

- Fixed number of copies of a gene per cell
- Analysis goal:

Variant calling and interpretation


- Number of copies of a gene transcript per cell depends on gene expression
- Analysis goal:
- Bulk : Differential expression
- Single cell : Quantify different cell populations
https://i0.wp.com/science-explained.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Cell.jpg


## Today we will cover RNA sequencing



## RNA Sequencing

- Number of copies of a gene transcript per cell depends on gene expression
- Analysis goal:
- Bulk : Differential expression
- Single cell : Quantify different cell populations


## "Bulk" RNA seq workflow

Library prep and sequencing

Bioinformatics

Good resource: $\underline{\text { Griffiths et al Plos Comp Bio } 2015}$


## RNA seq library prep and sequencing



Good resource: Griffiths et al Plos Comp Bio 2015

## RNA seq library prep and sequencing

- Enrichment for mRNA, two options
- In humans, ~95\%-98\% of all RNA molecules are rRNAs


Good resource: Griffiths et al Plos Comp Bio 2015

## RNA seq library prep and sequencing

- Enrichment for mRNA, two options
- In humans, ~95\%-98\% of all RNA molecules are rRNAs
- Random priming and reverse transcription
- Double stranded cDNA synthesis
- Sequencing adapter ligation


Resources:
Illumina Sequencing by Synthesis
Griffiths et al Plos Comp Bio 2015

## RNA seq bioinformatics

## Goal of Differential Expression

"How can we detect genes for which the counts of reads change between conditions more systematically than as expected by chance"

Oshlack et al. 2010. From RNA-seq reads to differential expression results. Genome Biology 2010, 11:220


## Our dataset

Next-Generation Sequencing Reveals HIV-1-Mediated Suppression of T Cell Activation and RNA Processing and Regulation of Noncoding RNA Expression in a CD4 ${ }^{+}$T Cell Line
Stewart T. Chang, Pavel Sova, Xinxia Peng, Jeffrey Weiss, G. Lynn Law, Robert E. Palermo, Michael G. Katze


HIV Infected
CD4+ T Cells


12 hour
24 hour

## HIV lifecycle


https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/understanding-hiv-aids/glossary/1596/life-cycle

## HIV lifecycle

## HIV infection in a human host



https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/understanding-hiv-aids/glossary/1596/life-cycle

## The study question

What changes take place in the first 12-24 hours of HIV infection in terms of gene expression of host cell and viral replication levels?



## Study findings

Using RNAseq, authors demonstrate:

- $20 \%$ of reads mapped to HIV at $12 \mathrm{hr}, 40 \%$ at 24 hr
- Downregulation of T cell differentiation genes at 12 hr
- 'Large-scale disruptions to host transcription' at 24 hr



## Bulk vs Single Cell RNA Sequencing


https://www.10xgenomics.com/blog/single-cell-rna-seq-an-introductory-overview-and-tools-for-getting-started

## scRNA cell subsets in PBMC


https://satijalab.org/seurat/v3.2/pbmc3k_tutorial.html

## 10x single cell technology


https://github.com/hbctraining/scRNA-seq

## Bulk RNAseq for Differential Expression is OK!



## Our (bulk) RNAseq Workflow



## Quality control on Raw Reads



## Raw reads in Fastq format

```
@SRR098401.109756285
GACTCACGTAACTTTAAACTCTAACAGAAATATACTA...
+
CAEFGDG?BCGGGEEDGGHGHGDFHEIEGGDDDD...
```

1. Sequence identifier
2. Sequence
3.     + (optionally lists the sequence identifier again)
4. Quality string

## Base Quality Scores

The symbols we see in the read quality string are an encoding of the quality score:

```
Quality encoding: !"#$%&'()*+,-./0123456789:;<=>?@ABCDEFGHI
```

A quality score is a prediction of the probability of an error in base calling:

| Quality Score | Probability of Incorrect Base Call | Inferred Base Call Accuracy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 (Q10) | 1 in 10 | $90 \%$ |
| 20 (Q20) | 1 in 100 | $99 \%$ |
| 30 (Q30) | 1 in 1000 | $99.9 \%$ |

## Base Quality Scores

The symbols we see in the read quality string are an encoding of the quality score:


A quality score is a prediction of the probability of an error in base calling:

| Quality Score | Probability of Incorrect Base Call | Inferred Base Call Accuracy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 (Q10) | 1 in 10 | $90 \%$ |
| 20 (Q20) | 1 in 100 | $99 \%$ |
| 30 (Q30) | 1 in 1000 | $99.9 \%$ |

Back to our read:

```
@SRR098401.109756285
GACTCACGTAACTTTAAACTCTAACAGAAATATACTA...
+
CAEFGDG?BCGGGEEDGGHGHGDFHEIEGGDDDD...
    C -> Q = 34 -> Probability < 1/1000 of an error
```


## Raw read quality control


#### Abstract

Fastq File @SRR497699.30343179.1 HWI-EAS39X_10175_FC61MK0_4_117_4812_10346 length=75 CAGATGGCCGCAGAGGAAGCCATGAAGGCCCTGCATGGGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGAC

IIIIGIIHFIIIIBIIDII>IIDHIIHDIIIGIFIIEIGIBDDEFIG<EIEGEEG;<DB@A8CC7<><C@BBDDB @SRR497699.11626500.1 HWI-EAS39X_10175_FC61MK0_4_44_8384_16550 length=75 CGTACTGAACGTACAACGCTGATGCCATCCGCATATTTAAATTCGGCAGCGTTAATTAACTCCCTGACCTCGGCG $+$ HHHHHHHHHHHFHHHGHHHHHHB@HHHHHHHHFHHHHHEHHHHHHHHHHHHGEHDHHEHHHHBHHHGHHHHHHHG @SRR497699.29057557.1 HWI-EAS39X_10175_FC61MK0_4_112_12508_19308 length=75 CCGAGGCTTAGCTTTCATTATCACTGTCTCCCAGGGTGTGCTTGTCAAAGAGATAAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAG $+$ GGGBGGGDGBHHDHHGEGGGHHHHHGHHGHHHHHHGBGGDGGEGDHHHHHHHHHHHH@BHHGGHGHHHHHEEGHH @SRR497699.1331889.1 HWI-EAS39X_10175_FC61MK0_4_5_4738_15920 length=75 CTTACTTTGTAGCCTTCATCAGGGTTTGCTGAAGATGGCGGTATATAGGCTGAGCAAGAGGTGGTGAGGTTGATC $+$


## Metrics

- Sequence Quality

FastQC Tool
$\qquad$

- GC content
- Per base sequence content
- Adapters in Sequence


## FastQC: Sequence Quality Histogram



## FastQC: Per sequence GC content

Per sequence GC content



## FastQC: Per sequence GC content

© Per sequence GC content


GOOD: follows normal distribution (sum of deviations is $<15 \%$ of reads)
${ }^{*}$ Per sequence GC content


BAD: can indicate contamination with adapter dimers, or another species

## FastQC: Per Base Sequence Content



- Proportion of each position for which each DNA base has been called
- RNAseq data tends to show a positional sequence bias in the first $\sim 12$ bases
- The "random" priming step during library construction is not truly random and certain hexamers are more prevalent than others


## FastQC: Per Base Sequence Content

(

EXPECTED for RNAseq
BAD:
Shows a strong positional bias throughout the reads, which in this case is due to the library having a certain sequence that is overrepresented

## FastQC: Adapter content



FastQC will scan each read for the presence of known adapter sequences

The plot shows that the adapter content rises over the course of the read

Solution - Adapter trimming!

sequencing.qcfail.com

## FastQC -> MultiQC

Should view all samples at once to notice abnormalities for our dataset.


We'll use a tool called
"Trim Galore!" to trim adapters and remove low quality bases/reads.

## Workflow



## Read Alignment

- RNAseq data originates from spliced mRNA (no introns)
- When aligning to the genome, our aligner must find a spliced alignment for reads
- We use a tool called STAR (Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference) that has a exon-aware mapping algorithm.

Reference sequence


## Sequence Alignment Map (SAM)



```
@HD VN:1.5 SO:coordinate
@SQ SN:ref LN:45
r001 99 ref 7 30 8M2I4M1D3M = 37 39 TTAGATAAAGGATACTG *
r002 0 ref 9 30 3S6M1P1I4M * 0 0 AAAAGATAAGGATA *
r003 0 ref 9 30 5S6M * 0 0 GCCTAAGCTAA * SA:Z:ref,29,-,6H5M,17,0;
r004 0 ref 16 30 6M14N5M * * 0 0 ATAGCTTCAGC 
r001 147 ref 37 30 9M = 7 -39 CAGCGGCAT * NM:i:1
```

Header
section

Alignment
section

CIGAR: summary of alignment, e.g. match, gap, insertion, deletion Mapping Quality
Position
Ref Sequence name
Flag: indicates alignment information e.g. paired, aligned, etc https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/explain-flags.htm|
Read ID
www.samformat.info

## Sequence Alignment Map (SAM)


CSQ SN:ref LN: 75
r001 99 ref 730 8M2I4M1D3M $=37 \quad 39$ TTAGATAAAGGATACTG *
r002 0 ref 930 3S6M1P1I4M * 0 AAAAGATAAGGATA *
r003 0 ref $9305 S 6 M \quad * 0$ GCCTAAGCTAA * SA:Z:ref,29,-,6H5M, 17, 0 ;
r004 0 ref 1630 6M14N5M * 0 0 ATAGCTTCAGC *
r003 2064 ref 2917 6H5M * 0 0 TAGGC * SA:Z:ref,9,+,5S6M,30,1;
r001 147 ref $37309 \mathrm{M}=7$-39 CAGCGGCAT $\quad$ NM:i:1
Paired end info


Header
section

Alignment section
www.samformat.info

## Genome Annotation Standards

- STAR can use an annotation file gives the location and structure of genes in order to improve alignment in known splice junctions
- Annotation is dynamic and there are at least three major sources of annotation
- The intersection among RefGene, UCSC, and Ensembl annotations shows high overlap. RefGene has the fewest unique genes, while more than $50 \%$ of genes in Ensembl are unique

- Be consistent with your choice of annotation source!


## Gene Annotation Format (GTF)

In order to count genes, we need to know where they are located in the reference sequence STAR uses a Gene Transfer Format (GTF) file for gene annotation

Frame
Strand
Chrom Source Feature type Start Stop (Score) Attribute
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { chr5 } & \text { hg38_refGene } & \text { exon } & 138465492 & 138466068 & . & + & .\end{array}\right]$ gene_id "EGR1";

## A note on standards

## HOW STANDARDS PROLIFERATE:

(SEEP A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC)

| SOON: |
| :---: | :---: |
| SITUATION: |
| THERE ARE |
| I4 COMPETING RIDICULOUS! |
| STANDARDS. |
| WE NEED TO DEVELOP |
| ONE UNIVERSAL STANDARD |
| THAT COVERS EVERYONE'S |
| USE CASES. YEAH! |
| SITUATION: |
| THERE ARE |
| IS COMPETING |
| STANDARDS. |

## Visualizing reads with JBrowse



## Workflow



## Counting reads for each gene



## Counting reads: featurecounts

- The mapped coordinates of each read are compared with the features in the GTF file
- Reads that overlap with a gene by >=1 bp are counted as belonging to that feature
- Ambiguous reads will be discarded



## Counting reads: featurecounts

- The mapped coordinates of each read are compared with the features in the GTF file
- Reads that overlap with a gene by >=1 bp are counted as belonging to that feature
- Ambiguous reads will be discarded

Result is a gene count matrix:

| Gene | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1000 | 1000 | 100 | 10 |
| B | 10 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| C | 10 | 1 | 10 | 20 |



## Workflow



## Normalization

Sample A Reads

- Raw Count != Expression strength
- Normalization:
- Eliminates factors that are not of interest for our experiment
- Enables accurate comparison between samples or genes



## Normalization

The number of reads mapped to a gene depends on

- Gene Length

Sample A Reads


## Normalization

The number of reads mapped to a gene depends on

- Gene Length
- Sequencing depth



## Normalization

The number of reads mapped to a gene depends on

- Gene Length
- Sequencing depth
- The expression level of other genes in the sample (RNA Composition)


Adapted from https://hbctraining.github.io/DGE_workshop

## Normalization

The number of reads mapped to a gene depends on

- Gene Length
- Sequencing depth
- The expression level of other genes in the sample (RNA Composition)


## DESeq2 Median of Ratios



Adapted from https://hbctraining.github.io/DGE_workshop

## Normalization: DESeq2 Median of Ratios



## Normalization: DESeq2 Median of Ratios

1. Take a row-wise average to produce an average sample (geometric mean) $\sqrt[n]{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}}$

| Gene | Sample $A$ | Sample B |  | Avg. Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Y$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Z$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $D E$ | 2 | 50 |  | 10 |

## Normalization: DESeq2 Median of Ratios

1. Take a row-wise average to produce an average sample (geometric mean) $\sqrt[n]{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}}$

| Gene | Sample $A$ | Sample B |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 26 | 10 |  | Avg. Sample |
| $X$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Y$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Z$ | 2 | 50 | 16 |  |
| $D E$ |  |  | 10 |  |

2. Divide all rows by the Average Sample for that gene (Ratio)

| Gene | Sample A/Avg. | Sample B /Avg. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | $26 / 16=1.6$ | $10 / 16=0.6$ |
| Y | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Z | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| DE | 0.2 | 5 |

## Normalization: DESeq2 Median of Ratios

1. Take a row-wise average to produce an average sample (geometric mean) $\sqrt[n]{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}}$

| Gene | Sample $A$ | Sample B |  | Avg. Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Y$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $Z$ | 26 | 10 |  | 16 |
| $D E$ | 2 | 50 |  | 16 |

2. Divide all rows by the Average Sample for that gene (Ratio)

| Gene | Sample A/Avg. | Sample B/Avg. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| X | $26 / 16=1.6$ | $10 / 16=0.6$ |
| Y | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Z | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| DE | 0.2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3. Take the median of each column. Should be $\sim 1$ for all

| Size factor | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |



## Normalization: DESeq2 Median of Ratios

1. Take a row-wise average to produce an average sample (geometric mean) $\sqrt[n]{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}}$

| Gene | Sample A | Sample B | Avg. Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | 26 | 10 | 16 |
| Y | 26 | 10 | 16 |
| Z | 26 | 10 | 16 |
| DE | 2 | 50 | 16 |

2. Divide all rows by the Average Sample for that gene (Ratio)

| Gene | Sample A/Avg. | Sample B/Avg. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| X | $26 / 16=1.6$ | $10 / 16=0.6$ |
| Y | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Z | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| DE | 0.2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3. Take the median of each column. Should be $\sim 1$ for all

| Size factor | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

4. Divide all counts by sample specific size factor

| Gene | Sample $A / S_{A}$ | Sample B / $S_{B}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| X | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| Y | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| Z | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| DE | 1.3 | 83.3 |

Normalized counts for non-DE genes are similar!

## Assumption of DESeq2 Median of Ratios

Median of Ratios method assumes that most genes are not Differentially Expressed between samples.


Loven et al "Revisiting Global Gene Expression Analysis" Cell 2012https://doi.org/10.1016/i.cell.2012.10.012

## Assumption of DESeq2 Median of Ratios

Median of Ratios method assumes that most genes are not Differentially Expressed between samples.
COUNTER EXAMPLE


- Late stage cell death (total RNA DOWN)
- High c-Myc cells (total RNA UP )

Known quantity spike-in transcripts (ERCC) can be used to normalize in these cases.

## Normalization methods

| Normalization method | Description | Accounted factors | Recommended use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CPM (counts per million) |  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Total Reads per Sample $/ 0^{6}$ |

Similar to DESeq2: EdgeR, limma-voom

## Quality Control Visualizations



Examine sources of variation in the data

- Principal Component Analysis
- Hierarchical Clustering
(Log2 +1) Transformed, Normalized Count Table

| Gene | Sample A | Sample B | Sample C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 2.2 | -0.2 | 1 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | 3.1 |

## Principle Component Analysis

Dimension reduction technique Example: 3 gene dimensions -> 2 PC

| Gene | Mock_12h | Mock_12h |  |  | HIV_12h | HIV_12h | HIV_24h | HIV_24h |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene 1 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 6.8 |
| Gene 2 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 0.6 | 3.8 |
| Gene 3 | 4.1 | 11.9 | 4.1 | -0.5 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 4.4 |

original data space


## Principle Component Analysis


$\checkmark$ Do your samples cluster as expected?
$\checkmark$ What are the major sources of variation in the data?

## Principle Component Analysis


$\checkmark$ Do your samples cluster as expected?
$\checkmark$ What are the major sources of variation in the data?
$\checkmark$ Is there a batch effect?


Image https://support.bioconductor.org/p/111491/

## Differential Expression with DESeq2



## Multi-factor experiment design



Factor 1:
Infection status (Mock or HIV)
Factor 2:
Time (12 or 24 hr )

## Multi-factor experiment design



- Differential Expression compares two conditions
- We'll choose Infection status at 12 hr (Mock or HIV) for comparison
- We could also choose time, or a combination of multiple factors


## Step 1: Modeling gene expression values

All leading DE tools use regression models to estimate the fold change between conditions for each gene


## Step 1: Modeling gene expression values

All leading DE tools use regression models to estimate the fold change between conditions for each gene Example, simple linear regression:


DESeq2 uses a Generalized Linear Model with a Negative Binomial error Distribution, which has been shown to be best fit for RNAseq data.

Love, M.I., Huber, W. \& Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 550 (2014).

## Step 2: Hypothesis Testing



Is EGR1 differentially expressed?
Yes! p << 0.05

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}: \beta_{1}=0 \quad \text { vs. } \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \beta_{1} \neq 0
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : there is no systematic difference between the average read count values for Mock vs. HIV

- Statistical test - Wald test (similar to t-test) on $\beta_{1}$
- $\mathrm{Z}=\beta_{1} / \mathrm{SE}_{\beta 1}$
- Z-statistic is compared to the normal distribution and probability of getting a statistic at least as extreme is computed


## DESeq2 Results table

| GenelD | Base mean | log2FoldChange | StdErr | P-value | P-adj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EGR1 | 1273 | 1.55 | 0.13 | $1.19 \mathrm{e}-77$ | $1.52 \mathrm{e}-73$ |
| MYC | 5226 | -1.53 | 0.14 | $1.63 \mathrm{e}-36$ | $1.03 \mathrm{e}-32$ |

- Mean of normalized counts - averaged over all samples from two conditions (HIV, Mock)
- Log of the fold change between two conditions
- StdErr - Standard error of coefficient (e.g. $b_{1}$ )
- P-value - the probability that the Wald statistic is as extreme as observed if $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ were true
- P-adj - accounting for multiple testing correction


## DESeq2 P-value histogram



- Histogram of raw p-values for all genes examined
- P-value: Probability of getting a log2FoldChange as extreme as observed if the true log2FoldChange $=0$ for that gene (null hypothesis)

How to interpret:

- Random P-values are expected to be uniform, if you have true positives you should see a peak close to zero


## DESeq2 MA plot

Shows the relationship between

- M : The difference in expression $\log ($ HIV $)-\log ($ Mock $)=\log ($ HIV/Mock $)$
- A: Average expression strength Average(Mock, HIV)
- Genes with adjusted $p$-value $<0.1$ are in red
- Gives an overview of your results

MA-plot for condition: mock vs hiv


## Study findings

- T cell differentiation-related genes were overrepresented in the DEG at 24 hr
- 'Large-scale disruptions to host transcription' at 24 hr



## Conclusions



## References

DESeq2 vignette (R/Rstudio):
http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/DESeq2/inst/doc/DESeq2.html\#dif ferential-expression-analysis

HBC Training (Command line/R):
https://hbctraining.github.io/DGE workshop

Galaxy Training:
https://galaxyproject.org/tutorials/rb rnasea/

## Outline



## E Galaxy

* Web-based platform for running data analysis and integration, geared towards bioinformatics
> Open-source
ح Developed at Penn State, Johns Hopkins, OHSU and Cleveland Clinic with many more outside contributions
- Large and extremely responsive community


## Access Galaxy

1. Connect to Tufts Network, either on campus or via VPN
2. Visit https://galaxy.cluster.tufts.edu/
3. Log in with you cluster username and password
4. In another browser window go to course workflow:
https://rbatorsky.github.io/intro-to-rnaseq-with-galaxy/

Suggested screen layout


## User Interface



## User Interface

## TOP MENU BAR



## Galaxy User Interface



History


Unnamed history
(empty) $\geqslant$
(i) This history is empty. You can load your own data or get data from an external source

History


## Tools



## Tools



## Importing data

Import shared data libraries


## Access Galaxy

1. Connect to Tufts Network, either on campus or via VPN
2. Visit https://galaxy.cluster.tufts.edu/
3. Log in with you cluster username and password
4. In another browser window go to course workflow: https://rbatorsky.github.io/intro-to-rnaseq-with-galaxy/
5. Under Table of Contents click on "Introduction and Setup"

Suggested screen layout


